IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2429 OF 2003
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 822 OF 2003

Ashok Pandharinath Kate ...Applicant

Versus

M/s. Kate Developers & Contractors
& others ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Sushil Nimbkar, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. S.P. Kadam, Adv.for Respondents 1 to 3

Mr. Rajesh More, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 21ST OCTOBER, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant, the learned advocate for respondents i.e. original complainant and the learned A.P.P. for State.

2. Sufficient cause has been made out for condonation of delay, hence, delay is condoned.

3. Application is disposed of.

*****